


## Chaplain's Corner, Hughes Camp Chaplain Richard W Rudd



To compensate for hypocrisy, political expedience, and belief that the end justifies the means, liberals embrace the philosophy of relativity, denying all absolutes derived from laws of morality or science. If they cannot revise inconvenient facts to

suit their purposes, they just ignore them or deny their existence. How else could they defend Islam, an ideology that threatens their very existence? In their imaginary world, liberals have gone beyond rejecting truths that are made obvious from simple common-sense observation and Biblical evidence to repudiation of reality demonstrated by the very scientific methods in which they claimed to place their faith.

Science confirms that a heartbeat is detected within the first weeks of gestation and pictures can be viewed of the fetus in the womb reacting to stimuli. Yet, liberals remain recalcitrant in their protests that the fetus is not a human being and in their advocacy of abortion. In spite of evidence from DNA to the contrary, liberals insist that one's gender is merely a state of mind and that laws, tradition, and common sense should conform to their psychotic whims regarding one's identity. This insanity is now being extended to the subject of race.

Last October, an article by Faye Flam was published entitled *Concept of Race Stands As Science's Biggest Blunder*. This warped idea would find acceptance among globalists who dream of melding all humanity into an unidentifiable mass under the domination of a one-world government. Advocating utter lunacy in the face of the overwhelming preponderance of all evidence garnered from simple common-sense observation, the Scriptures, and science, Daniel Lieberman, a Harvard anthropologist, declares, "Race is a scientifically indefensible concept with no biological basis as applied to humans." It must take a lot of practice to be able to say this with a straight face and give the appearance of being serious. There are only two options available to explain this. One either thinks their audience is insane or the speaker is insane. Is this what science professors teaching students in today's college

classrooms? Could they possibly succeed in their efforts to convince their students to look into a mirror and deny their own identity? Joseph Graves, a geneticist at the University of North Carolina and author of *The Race Myth*, said, "In the 19th century, scientists used race not just to classify people but to justify slavery..." Advantage is taken of every opportunity to reinforce and stubbornly insist on an irrevocable bond between race and slavery to divide Americans in 2017 as was done in 1863. Promoting the speculative theory of evolution, he added that "...a key turning point occurred when Charles Darwin published *On The Origin of Species* in 1859. From his travels around the world, Darwin realized that there was no scientific reason to divide people into ...races." When did Darwin ever let science interfere with his concocting a baseless theory heavily influenced by Hinduism and its belief in reincarnation? He did not establish his theory of evolution on science or it would be irrefutable today in its challenge to the Biblical account of creation. Flam commented, "Whether you're dark or light, lanky or stocky depends in part on the sunlight intensity and climate in the regions where your ancestors lived." Scientists know, but do not publicize in order to be politically correct, that racial characteristics are more than skin deep, indelibly buried in our DNA. From a revolutionary perspective, she postulates that environment determines racial characteristics and that heredity is irrelevant. If this were true, we could begin the change of our racial identity and that of our progeny by merely residing in a different locale. A cursory knowledge of basic biology and anatomy is all that is required to refute this, but Flam and associates discredit science because it does not agree with their ideology. Finally, she attacks the Biblical account of creation by saying, "Consider the fact that most of the race boxes people tick off on census forms were invented by

creationists, such as Swedish biologist Carolus Linnaeus. In 1758, he declared that humans could be divided into races..."

The division of humanity into three major races was not the invention of Linnaeus in 1758, but an act of God. A perusal of Genesis 10 reveals the genealogies of Noah's three sons and explains how the races originated.

*(To be continued)*

*Rudd continued from page 4..*

*Fr. Richard W. Rudd*

**Hughes Camp Chaplain**